

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addess; COMMINSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boxyl 450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspb.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/750,475 | 12/28/2000 | Lynh Nguyen | ST9-99-134US3 | 7832 |
| 23373 7590 04/11/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CHANKONG, DOHM | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WISHINGI | WASHINGTON, DO Book | | 2152 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/11/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Dohm Chankong DEC Cision from Pre- | NGUYEN, LYNH Art Unit 2152 Appeal Brief Review | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Dohm Chankong DEC | Art Unit 2152 | | | |
| DEC | | | | |
| 1 N. (1986) | -Anneal Brief Review | | | |
| cision from Pre | Anneal Brief Review | | | |
| | Appear blici Neview | | | |
| | | | | |
| his is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>2/14/07</u> . | | | | |
| est is improper and a confe | erence will not be held for the following | | | |
| been filed concurrent with reasons why a review is ap cluded with the Pre-Appeal | | | | |
| continues to run from the re unication, if no Notice of Ap | ceipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from peal has been received. | | | |
| appeal because there is at I n accordance with 37 CFR m mailing this decision, or t of appeal, whichever is gre | A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been east one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal the balance of the two-month time period eater. Further, the time period for filing of the hail date of this decision or the receipt date | | | |
| ne status of the claim(s) is a | as follows: | | | |
| | been filed concurrent with reasons why a review is applied with the Pre-Appeal continues to run from the reunication, if no Notice of Appeals and Interferences appeal because there is at In accordance with 37 CFR m mailing this decision, or sof appeal, whichever is green. 1.136 based upon the mailing the claim(s) is a set of the claim(s). | | | |

4. ☐ **Reopen Prosecution** – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

All participants:

(1) Dohm Chankong.

(3) Lynne H Browne.

Lynne H. Browne Appeal Specialist, TGAS Technology Center 210

(2) Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit.

applicant at this time.

(4)_____.